

Limiting Case of Modified Electroweak Model for Contracted Gauge Group

N.A. Gromov

Department of Mathematics, Komi Science Center UrD, RAS,
Kommunisticheskaya st. 24, Syktyvkar 167982, Russia
E-mail: gromov@dm.komisc.ru

Abstract

The modification of the Electroweak Model with 3-dimensional spherical geometry in the matter fields space is suggested. The Lagrangian of this model is given by the sum of the *free* (without any potential term) matter fields Lagrangian and the standard gauge fields Lagrangian. The vector boson masses are generated by transformation of this Lagrangian from Cartesian coordinates to a coordinates on the sphere S_3 . The limiting case of the bosonic part of the modified model, which corresponds to the contracted gauge group $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ is discussed. Within framework of the limit model Z-boson and electromagnetic fields can be regarded as an external ones with respect to W-bosons fields in the sence that W-boson fields do not effect on these external fields. The masses of all particles of the Electroweak Model remain the same, but field interactions in contracted model are more simple as compared with the standard Electroweak Model.

PACS number: 12.15-y

1 Introduction

The standard Electroweak Model based on gauge group $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gives a good description of electroweak processes. The massive vector bosons predicted by the model was experimentally observed and have the masses $m_W = 80 GeV$ for charged W-boson and $m_Z = 91 GeV$ for neutral Z-boson. At the same time the existence of the scalar field (Higgs boson) has not been experimentally verified up to now. One expect that the future experiments on LHC will given definite answer. The scalar field arise in the Standard Electroweak Model as a result of spontaneous symmetry breaking by Higgs mechanism [1], which include three steps: 1) the potential of the self-acting scalar field of the special form $V(\phi) = \mu^2 \bar{\phi}\phi + \lambda(\bar{\phi}\phi)^2$ is introduced *by hand*

in the Lagrangian; 2) its minimal values are considered for imaginary mass $\mu^2 < 0$ and are interpreted as degenerate vacuum; 3) one of the gauge equivalent vacuum is fixed and then all fields are regarded in the neighbourhood of this vacuum.

Sufficiently artificial Higgs mechanism with its imaginary bare mass is a naive relativistic analog of the phenomenological description of superconductivity [2]. Therefore there are a serious doubt whether electroweak symmetry is broken by such a Higgs mechanism, or by something else. The emergence of large number Higgsless models [3]–[8] was stimulated by difficulties with Higgs boson. These models are mainly based on extra dimensions of different types or larger gauge groups. A finite electroweak model without a Higgs particle which is used a regularized quantum field theory [9],[10] was developed in [8].

The simple mechanism for generation of the vector boson masses in Electroweak Model was suggested in [11]–[13]. It is based on the fact that the quadratic form $\phi^\dagger\phi = \rho^2$ in the matter field space Φ_2 is invariant with respect to gauge transformations. This quadratic form define the 3-dimensional sphere S_3 of the radius $\rho > 0$ in the space Φ_2 which is C_2 or R_4 if real components are counted. The vector boson masses are generated by transformation of the *free* (without any potential term like $V(\phi)$) Lagrangian from Cartesian coordinates to a coordinates on the sphere S_3 . This transformation corresponds to transition from linear to nonlinear representation of the gauge group in the space of functions on S_3 . Higgs boson field does not appeared if the sphere radius does not depend on the space-time coordinates $\rho = const$. For $\rho \neq const$ the real positive massless scalar field is presented in the model [14],[15]. Such modified Electroweak Model keep all experimentally verified fields of the standard Electroweak Model and does not include massive scalar Higgs boson, which is sequent of spontaneous symmetry breaking. The concept of generation masses for vector bosons in Electroweak Model by transformation to radial coordinate is developed in [16], [17], as well as in context of nonlinearly realized gauge groups in [18] or in context of nonlinear sigma models in [19].

One of the important ingredient of the Standard Model is the simple group $SU(2)$. More then fifty years in physics it is well known the notion of group contraction [20], i.e. limit operation, which transforms, for example, a simple or semisimple group to a non-semisimple one. From general point of view for better understanding of a physical system it is useful to investigate its properties for limiting values of their physical parameters. In partucular,

for a gauge model one of the similar limiting case corresponds to a model with contracted gauge group. The gauge theories for non-semisimple groups which Lie algebras admit invariant non-degenerate metrics was considered in [21],[22].

In the present paper we construct the analog of the bosonic part of the modified Electroweak Model as the gauge theory with the contracted non-semisimple group $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$. In Sec. 2, we recall the definition and properties of the contracted group $SU(2; j)$. In Sec. 3, we step by step modify the main points of the Electroweak Model for the gauge group $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ and introduce the radial coordinates $R_+ \times S_3$ in R_4 in the most convenient way [15]. The use of the representation with the symmetric arranged contraction parameter [23] enables us to find transformation properties of gauge fields. After that the Lagrangian of the contracted model can be very easy obtained from the noncontracted one by the substitution (8) or (28). The limiting case of the modified Higgsless Electroweak Model is regarded in Sec. 4. When contraction parameter tends to zero $j \rightarrow 0$ or takes nilpotent value $j = \iota$ the field space is fibered [24] in such a way that electromagnetic and Z-boson fields are in the base whereas charged W-bosons fields are in the fiber. The base fields can be interpreted as an external ones with respect to the fiber fields. In addition field interactions are simplified under contraction. Sec. 5 is devoted to the conclusions.

2 Special unitary group $SU(2; j)$ and its contraction

The contracted special unitary group $SU(2; j)$ is defined as a transformation group

$$\phi'(j) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_1 \\ j\phi'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & j\beta \\ -j\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ j\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega(j)\phi(j),$$

$$\det \Omega(j) = |\alpha|^2 + j^2|\beta|^2 = 1, \quad \Omega(j)\Omega^\dagger(j) = 1 \quad (1)$$

of the complex fibered vector space $\Phi_2(j)$ which keep invariant the hermitian form

$$\phi^\dagger(j)\phi(j) = |\phi_1|^2 + j^2|\phi_2|^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\phi^\dagger(j) = (\bar{\phi}_1, j\bar{\phi}_2)$, bar denotes complex conjugation, parameter $j = 1, \iota$ and ι is nilpotent unit $\iota^2 = 0$. For nilpotent unit the following heuristic rules

be fulfilled: 1) division of a real or complex numbers by ι is not defined, i.e. for a real or complex a the expression $\frac{a}{\iota}$ is defined only for $a = 0$, 2) however identical nilpotent units can be cancelled $\frac{\iota}{\iota} = 1$.

Contracted group and fibered space are obtained for nilpotent value of the parameter $j = \iota$, whereas unitary group $SU(2)$ and usual complex vector space correspond to $j = 1$. The space $\Phi_2(\iota)$ is fibered with one dimensional base $\{\phi_1\}$ and one dimensional fiber $\{\phi_2\}$ [23],[24]. It has two hermitian forms: first in the base $\bar{\phi}_1\phi_1 = |\phi_1|^2$ and second in the fiber $\bar{\phi}_2\phi_2 = |\phi_2|^2$. Both forms can be unified in one formula (2).

The generators of the corresponding Lie algebra $su(2; j)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(j) &= j\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = j\frac{i}{2}\tau_1, & T_2(j) &= j\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = j\frac{i}{2}\tau_2, \\ T_3(j) &= \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i}{2}\tau_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with τ_k being Pauli matrices, are subject of commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [T_1(j), T_2(j)] &= -j^2 T_3(j), & [T_3(j), T_1(j)] &= -T_2(j), \\ [T_2(j), T_3(j)] &= -T_1(j). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The general element of $su(2; j)$ is given by

$$T(j) = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k T_k(j) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & j(a_1 - ia_2) \\ j(a_1 + ia_2) & -a_3 \end{pmatrix} = -T^\dagger(j). \quad (5)$$

There are two more or less equivalent way of group contraction. We can put the contraction parameter equal to the nilpotent unit $j = \iota$ or tend it to zero $j \rightarrow 0$. Sometimes it is convenient to use the first (mathematical) approach, sometimes the second (physical) one. For example, the matrix $\Omega(j)$ (1) has non-zero nilpotent non-diagonal elements for $j = \iota$, whereas for $j \rightarrow 0$ they are formally equal to zero. Nevertheless both approaches lead to the same final results.

For $j = \iota$ it follows from (1) that $\det \Omega(\iota) = |\alpha|^2 = 1$, i.e. $\alpha = e^{i\varphi}$, therefore

$$\Omega(\iota) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi} & \iota\beta \\ -\iota\bar{\beta} & e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2; \iota), \quad \beta = \beta_1 + i\beta_2 \in \mathbf{C}. \quad (6)$$

The simple group $SU(2)$ is contracted to the non-semisimple one $SU(2; \iota)$, which is isomorphic to the real Euclid group $E(2)$. First two generators of the Lie algebra $su(2; \iota)$ are commute and the rest commutators are given by (4).

The unitary group $U(1)$ and the electromagnetic subgroup $U(1)_{em}$ are essential ingredients of the Electroweak Model. Their actions in the fibered space $\Phi_2(\iota)$ are given by the same matrices as in the complex space Φ_2 , namely

$$u(\beta) = e^{\beta Y} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{em}(\gamma) = e^{\gamma Q} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $Y = \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{1}$, $Q = Y + T_3$.

Representations of groups $SU(2; \iota)$, $U(1)$, $U(1)_{em}$ are linear ones, that is they are realised by linear operators in the fibered space $\Phi_2(\iota)$.

3 Electroweak Model for $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ gauge group

The fibered space $\Phi_2(j)$ can be obtained from Φ_2 by substitution $\phi_2 \rightarrow j\phi_2$, which induces another ones for Lie algebra $su(2)$ generators $T_1 \rightarrow jT_1$, $T_2 \rightarrow jT_2$, $T_3 \rightarrow T_3$. As far as the gauge fields take their values in Lie algebra, we can substitute gauge fields instead of transformation of generators, namely

$$A_\mu^1 \rightarrow jA_\mu^1, \quad A_\mu^2 \rightarrow jA_\mu^2, \quad A_\mu^3 \rightarrow A_\mu^3, \quad B_\mu \rightarrow B_\mu. \quad (8)$$

These substitutions in the Electroweak Model based on $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge group result in the limiting case of Electroweak Model based on contracted gauge group $SU(2; \iota) \times U(1)$, when contraction parameter take the nilpotent value $j = \iota$.

The bosonic Lagrangian of the contracted Electroweak Model is given by the sum

$$L(j) = L_A(j) + L_\phi(j), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_A(j) &= \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(j))^2 + \frac{1}{2g'^2} \text{tr}(\hat{B}_{\mu\nu})^2 = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} [j^2 (F_{\mu\nu}^1)^2 + j^2 (F_{\mu\nu}^2)^2 + (F_{\mu\nu}^3)^2] - \frac{1}{4} (B_{\mu\nu})^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

is the gauge fields Lagrangian for $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ group and

$$L_\phi(j) = \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu\phi(j))^\dagger D_\mu\phi(j) \quad (11)$$

is the *free* (without any potential term) matter field Lagrangian (summation on the repeating Greek indexes is always understood). Here D_μ are the covariant derivatives

$$D_\mu\phi(j) = \partial_\mu\phi(j) + g \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 T_k(j) A_\mu^k \right) \phi(j) + g' Y B_\mu \phi(j), \quad (12)$$

where $T_k(j)$ are given by (3) and $Y = \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{1}$ is generator of $U(1)$. Their actions on components of $\phi(j)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu\phi_1 &= \partial_\mu\phi_1 + \frac{i}{2}(gA_\mu^3 + g'B_\mu)\phi_1 + j^2\frac{ig}{2}(A_\mu^1 - iA_\mu^2)\phi_2, \\ D_\mu\phi_2 &= \partial_\mu\phi_2 - \frac{i}{2}(gA_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu)\phi_2 + \frac{ig}{2}(A_\mu^1 + iA_\mu^2)\phi_1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The gauge fields

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu(x; j) &= g \sum_{k=1}^3 T_k(j) A_\mu^k(x) = g \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^3 & j(A_\mu^1 - iA_\mu^2) \\ j(A_\mu^1 + iA_\mu^2) & -A_\mu^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_\mu(x) &= g' Y B_\mu(x) = g' \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & B_\mu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

take their values in Lie algebras $su(2; j)$, $u(1)$ respectively, and the stress tensors are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}(x; j) &= \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x; j) + [A_\mu(x; j), A_\nu(x; j)] = \\ &= g \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} F_\mu^3 & j(F_\mu^1 - iF_\mu^2) \\ j(F_\mu^1 + iF_\mu^2) & -F_\mu^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

or in components

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}^1 &= \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^1 + g(A_\mu^2 A_\nu^3 - A_\mu^3 A_\nu^2), \quad F_{\mu\nu}^2 = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^2 + g(A_\mu^3 A_\nu^1 - A_\mu^1 A_\nu^3), \\ F_{\mu\nu}^3 &= \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^3 + j^2 g(A_\mu^1 A_\nu^2 - A_\mu^2 A_\nu^1), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^k = \partial_\mu A_\nu^k - \partial_\nu A_\mu^k$.

For $\Omega(j) \in SU(2; j)$, $e^{i\omega} \in U(1)$ the gauge transformations of the fields are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^\Omega(j) &= \Omega(j)\phi(j), \quad \phi^\omega(j) = e^{i\omega}\phi(j), \\ A_\mu^\Omega(x; j) &= \Omega(j)A_\mu(x; j)\Omega^{-1}(j) - \partial_\mu\Omega(j) \cdot \Omega(j)^{-1}, \quad A_\mu^\omega(x; j) = A_\mu(x; j), \\ B_\mu^\Omega &= B_\mu, \quad B_\mu^\omega = B_\mu - 2\partial_\mu\omega\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

and the Lagrangian $L(j)$ (9) is invariant under $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ gauge group.

The Lagrangian $L(j)$ (9) describe massless fields. In a standard approach to generate mass terms for the vector bosons the "sombbrero" potential is added to the matter field Lagrangian $L_\phi(j = 1)$ (11) and after that the Higgs mechanism is used. The different way was recently suggested [11]–[13] and is based on the fact that the quadratic form $\phi^\dagger\phi = \rho^2$ is invariant with respect to gauge transformations. This quadratic form define the 3-dimensional sphere S_3 of the radius $\rho > 0$ in the target space Φ_2 which is C_2 or R_4 if real components are counted. In other words the radial coordinates $R_+ \times S_3$ are introduced in R_4 . The vector boson masses are easy generated by the transformation of Lagrangian $L(j = 1)$ (9) to the coordinates on the sphere S_3 . Higgs boson field does not appeared if the sphere radius does not depend on the space-time coordinates $\rho = R = \text{const}$ [11]–[13]. For $\rho \neq \text{const}$ the real positive massless scalar field — analogy of dilaton or kind of Goldstone mode — is presented in the model [15].

Let us introduce the radial coordinates in the most convenient way following [15]. Write $\phi(j)$ as

$$\phi(j) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ j\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ j\chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & -j\bar{\chi}_2 \\ j\chi_2 & \bar{\chi}_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \rho h(j)\varphi_0, \tag{18}$$

where ρ is a positive function, then from $\phi^\dagger(j)\phi(j) = \rho^2$ it follows that

$$\chi^\dagger(j)\chi(j) = \bar{\chi}_1\chi_1 + j^2\bar{\chi}_2\chi_2 = 1 \tag{19}$$

and the matrix $h(j)$ is unimodular $\det h(j) = 1$ and unitary $h^\dagger(j)h(j) = 1$. So the vector $\chi(j) = h(j)\varphi_0 \in S_3(j)$ or the matrix $h(j) \in SU(2; j)$ defines the coordinates on the sphere $S_3(j)$ (19). Radial variable is invariant $\rho^\Omega = \rho$, $\rho^\omega = \rho$, but the matrix $h(j)$ transforms under the gauge transformations as

$$h^\Omega(j) = \Omega(j)h(j), \quad h^\omega(j) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega}\chi_1 & -je^{-i\omega}\bar{\chi}_2 \\ je^{i\omega}\chi_2 & e^{-i\omega}\bar{\chi}_1 \end{pmatrix} = h(j)e^{i\omega\tau_3}, \tag{20}$$

therefore covariant derivatives of ρ and $h(j)$ assume the forms

$$D_\mu \rho = \partial_\mu \rho, \quad D_\mu h(j) = \partial_\mu h(j) + A_\mu(x; j)h(j) + \hat{B}_\mu(x)h(j)\tau_3. \quad (21)$$

Using (18), (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \phi(j) &= \partial_\mu \rho h(j) + \rho D_\mu h(j) \varphi_0 = \\ &= h(j) \left\{ \partial_\mu \rho + \rho \left[h^\dagger(j) \partial_\mu h(j) + h^\dagger(j) A_\mu(x; j) h(j) + \hat{B}_\mu(x) \tau_3 \right] \right\} \varphi_0 = \\ &= h(j) \left\{ \partial_\mu \rho + \rho \left[W_\mu(x; j) + \hat{B}_\mu(x) \tau_3 \right] \right\} \varphi_0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the new vector field is introduced

$$W_\mu(x; j) = h^\dagger(j) A_\mu(x; j) h(j) + h^\dagger(j) \partial_\mu h(j) \quad (23)$$

with components

$$W_\mu(x; j) = \frac{i}{2} g \left[j \left(W_\mu^1 \tau_1 + W_\mu^2 \tau_2 \right) + W_\mu^3 \tau_3 \right]. \quad (24)$$

As a result the matter field Lagrangian (11) takes the form

$$L_\phi(j) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \rho)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left(\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \right)^2 (Z_\mu)^2 + j^2 \frac{\rho^2 g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-, \quad (25)$$

where the new fields are introduced

$$W_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(W_\mu^1 \mp i W_\mu^2 \right), \quad Z_\mu = \frac{g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \quad A_\mu = \frac{g' W_\mu^3 - g B_\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}. \quad (26)$$

These fields are invariant under the gauge transformations of $SU(2; j)$: $X^\Omega = X$, $X = W_\mu^\pm, W_\mu^3, Z_\mu, A_\mu$ and are transforms under those of $U(1)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (W_\mu^\pm)^\omega &= e^{\mp 2i\omega} W_\mu^\pm, \quad (W_\mu^3)^\omega = W_\mu^3 + \frac{2}{g} \partial_\mu \omega, \\ Z_\mu^\omega &= Z_\mu, \quad A_\mu^\omega = A_\mu + \frac{2}{e} \partial_\mu \omega, \quad e = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

It follows from (8) that substitutions of these fields are

$$W_\mu^\pm \rightarrow j W_\mu^\pm, \quad Z_\mu \rightarrow Z_\mu, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu. \quad (28)$$

It is easy to check, that the stress tensors of the fields $A_\mu(x; j)$ and $W_\mu(x; j)$ (23) are connected by

$$W_{\mu\nu}(x; j) = h^\dagger(j) F_{\mu\nu}(x; j) h(j), \quad (29)$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(x; j))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(W_{\mu\nu}(x; j))^2 \quad (30)$$

and the gauge fields Lagrangian (10) can be written as

$$L_A(j) = -j^2 \frac{1}{4} [(W_{\mu\nu}^1)^2 + (W_{\mu\nu}^2)^2] - \frac{1}{4} [(W_{\mu\nu}^3)^2 + (B_{\mu\nu})^2]. \quad (31)$$

The first two terms assume the form

$$\begin{aligned} & -j^2 \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu W_\nu^+ - \nabla_\nu W_\mu^+) (\nabla_\mu W_\nu^- - \nabla_\nu W_\mu^-) = \\ & = j^2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^+ \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \frac{igP - g^2 S}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu W_\nu^\pm &= (\partial_\mu \mp igW_\mu^3) W_\nu^\pm, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^\pm = \partial_\mu W_\nu^\pm - \partial_\nu W_\mu^\pm, \\ P &= \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^+ [W_\mu^-(gZ_\nu + g'A_\nu) - W_\nu^-(gZ_\mu + g'A_\mu)] - \\ & - \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- [W_\mu^+(gZ_\nu + g'A_\nu) - W_\nu^+(gZ_\mu + g'A_\mu)], \\ S &= [W_\mu^+(gZ_\nu + g'A_\nu) - W_\nu^+(gZ_\mu + g'A_\mu)] \times \\ & \times [W_\mu^-(gZ_\nu + g'A_\nu) - W_\nu^-(gZ_\mu + g'A_\mu)]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The last two terms in (31) are

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{4} [(W_{\mu\nu}^3)^2 + (B_{\mu\nu})^2] = -\frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3 + j^2 H_{\mu\nu})^2 + (B_{\mu\nu})^2] = \\ & = -\frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3)^2 + (B_{\mu\nu})^2 + 2j^2 \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3 H_{\mu\nu} + j^4 (H_{\mu\nu})^2] = \\ & = -\frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu})^2 + (\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu})^2 + 2j^2 \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3 H_{\mu\nu} + j^4 (H_{\mu\nu})^2], \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\nu}^3 = \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3 + H_{\mu\nu}, \quad H_{\mu\nu} = -ig (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - W_\mu^- W_\nu^+),$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^3 = \partial_\mu W_\nu^3 - \partial_\nu W_\mu^3, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (35)$$

The bosonic Lagrangian (9) assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} L(j) = & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \rho)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left(\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \right)^2 (Z_\mu)^2 + \\ & + j^2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^+ \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \frac{\rho^2 g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{igP - g^2 S - (g\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu} + g'\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu})H_{\mu\nu}}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \right\} - \\ & - j^4 \frac{1}{4} (H_{\mu\nu})^2 = L_b + j^2 L_f + j^4 L_h. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

For the gauge group $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$ the quadratic form $\phi^\dagger(j)\phi(j) = \rho^2$ is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations and defines the sphere $S_3(j)$ in the target space $\Phi_2(j)$. As it was mentioned the vector boson masses are automatically (without any Higgs mechanism) generated by the transformation of the *free* Lagrangian (9) to the Lagrangian (36) expressed in some coordinates on the sphere $S_3(j)$. And this is true for both values of the contraction parameter $j = 1$ and $j = \iota$. If the sphere radius does not depend on the space-time coordinates $\rho = R = \text{const}$, then $\partial_\mu \rho = 0$ and the real positive scalar field ρ as well as Higgs boson field are not present in the model [11]–[13]. In this case Lagrangian (36) describes massless vector fields $A_\mu, m_A = 0$ (photon), massive vector field Z_μ with the mass $m_Z = \frac{1}{2}R\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ (Z-boson), massive vector fields $W_\mu^\pm$ with identical mass $m_W = \frac{1}{2}gR$ (W-bosons) and interactions of these fields. W- and Z-bosons have been observed and have the masses $m_W = 80\text{GeV}$, $m_Z = 91\text{GeV}$, so only experimentally verified fields of Electroweak Model are included in Lagrangian.

4 Limiting case of modified Electroweak Model

We assume $\rho = R = \text{const}$, $\partial_\mu \rho = 0$ in this section. Let contraction parameter tends to zero $j^2 \rightarrow 0$, then the contribution L_f of W-bosons fields to the Lagrangian (36) will be small in comparison with the contribution L_b of Z-boson and electromagnetic fields. The term L_h being fourth order in j can be neglected. In other words the limit Lagrangian L_b includes Z-boson and electromagnetic fields and charged W-bosons fields does not effect on these fields. The part L_f form a new Lagrangian for W-bosons fields and their interactions with other fields. The appearance of two Lagrangians L_b and L_f

for the limit model is in correspondence with two hermitian forms of fibered space $\Phi_2(\iota)$, which are invariant under the action of contracted gauge group $SU(2; \iota)$. Electromagnetic and Z-boson fields can be regarded as external ones with respect to the W-bosons fields.

In mathematical language the field space $\{A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_\mu^\pm\}$ is fibered after contraction $j = \iota$ to the base $\{A_\mu, Z_\mu\}$ and the fiber $\{W_\mu^\pm\}$. (In order to avoid terminological misunderstanding let us stress that we have in view locally trivial fibering, which is defined by the projection $pr : \{A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_\mu^\pm\} \rightarrow \{A_\mu, Z_\mu\}$ in the field space. This fibering is understood in the context of semi-Riemannian geometry [24] and has nothing to do with the principal fiber bundle.) Then L_b in (36) presents Lagrangian in the base and L_f is Lagrangian in the fiber. In general, properties of a fiber are depend on a points of a base and not the contrary. In this sense fields in the base can be interpreted as external ones with respect to fields in the fiber.

Let us note that field interactions in contracted model are more simple as compared with the standard Electroweak Model due to nullification of some terms. For example, the last term $j^4 L_h$ in (36) disappears as having fourth order in $j \rightarrow 0$.

5 Conclusions

We have discussed the limiting case of the modified Higgsless Electroweak Model [11]–[13], which corresponds to the contracted gauge group $SU(2; j) \times U(1)$, where $j = \iota$ or $j \rightarrow 0$. The masses of the all experimentally verified particles involved in the Electroweak Model remain the same under contraction, but interactions of the fields are changed in two aspects. Firstly all field interactions become more simpler due to nullification of some terms in Lagrangian. Secondly interrelation of the fields become more complicated. All fields are divided on two classes: fields in the base (Z-boson and electromagnetic) and fields in the fiber (W-bosons). The base fields can be interpreted as external ones with respect to the fiber fields, i.e. Z-boson and electromagnetic fields can interact with W-bosons fields, but W-bosons fields do not effect on these fields within framework of the limit model. Let us note that the fibering of the field space under contraction in the modified Electroweak Model is very similar to those in the standard Electroweak Model [25]. The only exception is the presence of the scalar Higgs boson field in the base in the last case.

The author is grateful to V.V. Kuratov for helpful discussions. This work has been supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant 08-01-90010-Bel-a and the program ”‘Fundamental problems of non-linear dynamics’” of Russian Academy of Sciences.

References

- [1] V.A. Rubakov, *Classical Gauge Fields* (URSS, Moscow, 1999) (in Russian)
- [2] D.V. Shirkov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **179**(6), 581 (2009).
- [3] R. Casalbuoni, Deconstructed Higgsless models, hep-ph/0509165v1.
- [4] R. S. Chivukala, E. H. Simmons, H.-J. He, M. Kurachi and M. Tanabashi, The structure of corrections to electroweak interactions in Higgsless models, hep-ph/0406073v3.
- [5] A. A. Slavnov, Renormalizable electroweak model without fundamental scalar meson, hep-th/0601125v1.
- [6] A. A. Slavnov, Higgs mechanism as a collective effect due to extra dimension, hep-th/0604052v1.
- [7] G. Cacciapaglia, C. Csáki, G. Marandella and J. Terning, A new custodian for a realistic Higgsless model, hep-ph/0607156v1.
- [8] J.W. Moffat and V.T. Toth, A finite electroweak model without a Higgs particle, arXiv:0812.1991v3 [hep-th].
- [9] G.V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., **2**, 138 (1966).
- [10] G.V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., **4**, 309 (1967).
- [11] N.A. Gromov, *Spherical Space permits to go without Higgs Boson* (Komi Science Center RAS, Syktyvkar 2007) [in Russian].
- [12] N.A. Gromov, Higgsless Electroweak Theory following from the Spherical Geometry, arXiv:0705.4575v1 [hep-th].
- [13] N.A. Gromov, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **128**, 012005 (2008).

- [14] M.N. Chernodub, L.D. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, Non-abelian Supercurrents and Electroweak Theory, arXiv:0804.1544v1 [hep-th].
- [15] L.D. Faddeev, An alternative interpretation of the Weinberg-Salam model, arXiv:0811.3311v1 [hep-th].
- [16] T. Masson and J.-C. Wallet, A remark on the spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism in the Standard Model, arXiv:1001.1176v1 [hep-th].
- [17] A. Ilderton, M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, Symmetry breaking, conformal geometry and gauge invariance, arXiv:1002.1170v1 [hep-th].
- [18] D. Bettinelli, R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, The $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Electroweak Model based on the Nonlinearly Realized Gauge Group, arXiv:0807.3882v3 [hep-th].
- [19] V. Aldaya, M. Calixto and F.F. Lopez-Ruiz, A quantizable model of massive gauge vector bosons without Higgs, arXiv:0905.3688v1 [hep-th].
- [20] E. Inonu and E.P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA , **39**, 510 (1953).
- [21] C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, A WZW model based on non-semisimple group, hep-th/9310112.
- [22] A.A. Tseytlin, On gauge theories for non-semisimple groups, hep-th/9505129.
- [23] N.A. Gromov, in *Quantum Groups, Differential Equations and Probability Theory* (Komi Science Center RAS, Syktyvkar 1994), p.3 [in Russian].
- [24] N.A. Gromov, Phys. At. Nucl. **72**, 794 (2009); arXiv:08100349v1 [gr-qc].
- [25] N.A. Gromov, Phys. At. Nucl. **73**, 326 (2010); arXiv:0811.4701v1 [math-ph].